## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed December 15, 2004 in the above-referenced application, the Examiner put forth an election requirement between the following species of the claimed invention:

- a. Embodiment I shown in Figures 1 3.
- b. Embodiment II shown in Figures 4 7.
- c. Embodiment III showing in Figures 9 10.
- d. Embodiment IV shown in Figure 13.
- e. Embodiment V shown in Figures 14.
- f. Embodiment VI shown in Figures 15.
- g. Embodiment VII shown in Figures 16 and 17.
- h. Embodiment VIII shown in Figures 19.
- i. Embodiment IX shown in Figures 20.
- j. Embodiment X shown in Figures 21.
- k. Embodiment XI shown in Figures 22.

If applicable to the elected embodiment, the Examiner further requires an election to one of Embodiment A shown in Figure 11 or Embodiment B shown in Figure 12.

In response to this restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect to prosecute claims 32, 51, 53, 56 and 61-68 which are directed to the species of Embodiment VII shown in Figures 16 and 17. Claims 65-68 read on the species and claim 65 is generic.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

The applicants believe that the pending claims are directed to patentable subject matter. Consideration and an early allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Lyp

Registration No. 24,422 Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP
One Market
Spear Tower, Ste. 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 371-2200
Facsimile: (415) 371-2201
Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267